

1 Catherine Cabalo, Esq. (CA Bar No. 248198)
2 Peiffer Rosca Wolf Abdullah Carr & Kane
3 4 Embarcadero Center, 14th Floor
4 San Francisco, CA 94111
5 Telephone: 415.766.3592
Facsimile: 415.402.0058
Email: ccabalo@prwlegal.com

6 | *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
7 | *Abdul Nevarez and Priscilla Nevarez*

8 Jason Gong, Esq.
9 Law Office of Jason G. Gong
10 2121 N. California Blvd., Suite 290
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
11 Telephone: 925.735.3800
Facsimile: 925.735.3801
12 Email: jgong@gonglawfirm.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

18 || ABDUL NEVAREZ and PRISICLLA

19 | NEVAREZ,

20 | Plaintiffs,

21 | VS.

22 PEACOCK GAP HOLDINGS LLC AND
22 DOES 1-10, Inclusive

24 Defendants.

No. 4:17-cv-03480-KAW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
FOR DEFENDANT TO ANSWER
COMPLAINT AND FOR PARTIES TO
CONDUCT GENERAL ORDER 56
SITE INSPECTION**

STIPULATION

Plaintiffs Abdul Nevarez and Priscilla Nevarez (together “Plaintiffs”) and defendant Peacock Gap Holdings LLC (“Defendant”), by and through their respective counsel, jointly stipulate to extend the time for Defendant to file its answer to the

1 complaint to October 6, 2017. The parties also jointly stipulate to continue the deadline
2 to complete the General Order 56 joint site inspection to October 20, 2017.

3

4 Dated: September 25, 2017

PEIFFER, ROSCA, WOLF, ABDULLAH, CARR &
5 KANE

6 s/Catherine Cabalo

7 By: Catherine Cabalo

8 Attorney for Plaintiffs

ABDUL NEVAREZ and PRISCILLA NEVAREZ

9

10 Dated: September 25, 2017

LAW OFFICE OF JASON G. GONG

11

12 s/ Jason Gong

13 By: Jason Gong

14 Attorney for Defendant

PEACOCK GAP HOLDINGS LLC

15

16 **ORDER**

17

18 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation and for good cause shown, defendant Peacock
19 Gap Holdings LLC shall answer the Complaint by October 6, 2017, and the parties shall
20 complete the General Order 56 joint inspection by October 20, 2017.

21

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23

24 Dated: September 26, 2017


25 The Honorable Kandis A. Westmore
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1
2
3 **SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**
4
5

6 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this
7 document had been obtained from each of the other signatories whose signatures are
8 indicated by a conformed signature (“/s/”) within this e-filed document.
9

Dated: September 25, 2017

s/Catherine Cabalo

Catherine Cabalo

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28